



Writing About Art: Argument or Thesis

Every paper needs a central organizing argument or thesis that advances a claim (or set of claims) that developed and supported in the paper. To formulate a central argument when writing about art, consider the following relationships:

Formal Analysis

- x The relationship of the formal elements of the work (media, composition, technique, colour, line, space, point of view, scale, etc.) to the subject matter, theme, meaning of the work, the artist's intended effect, or the viewer's response. When doing a formal analysis, consider the following organizational strategies: describe the work as a whole before focusing on the details; describe the composition before discussing the technique; and move from foreground to background or from obvious to less obvious aspects of the work.

Stylistic Analysis

- x The work's relationship to the artistic context (e.g. how it fits into, does not quite fit into, challenges or resists particular stylistic categories/movements)

Comparative Analysis

- x The work's relationship to one or more works with which it shares key similarities or differences (e.g. other works in the same style, produced in the same country and era, or (e)-2.2(r 6)an1 4

response to her new works, believing that her place in the history of Modernism was secure and identifiable... In consequence, the drawings and paintings, which are actually of key importance, were suppressed rather than confronted.

Getting Started

Most papers will require secondary research, but it's a good strategy to formulate a tentative thesis (or at least a research focus) early in the writing research process. If you're not sure where to start or how to find a focus for your paper, try the following strategies:

- x Begin by doing a formal analysis of the work(s) by yourself—before you look at what others have written about the work or the artist. Freewrite about your response to the work and about what elements in the work elicit your response.
- x Consider the analytical perspectives and themes that are foregrounded in your course outline, in your course readings and textbook, and in your course lectures and discussions.
- x Formulate questions about the work or its relationship to other works, artistic movements, the historical context, or the artist's life. Note that "why" and "how" questions will typically lead you to a deeper analysis than "what" and "when" questions.

Beginning with good questions can be a particularly helpful strategy. Consider the following questions from Ann Thomas's essay (2002) on modernism in Canadian photography:

The question that this study raises is the relationship of Canadian photography of the 1920s and 30s to these other (i.e., European, American, Russian, and Mexican) modernist photographic practices. Was it closer to the American model of a direct movement out of Pictorialism or an example of the more experimental European model? Did Canadian Modernist photography exhibit any particular defining characteristics? And were there Canadian photographers whose work could be described as Modernist, and who were primarily interested in "refurbish[ing] the language of their art?"

The organizing argument or thesis for Thomas's essay comprises her response to these questions. Although her central argument does not appear neatly in a sentence or two, here are key parts of it:

Although there were Canadian photographers in the twenties and thirties who sought to "refurbish the language of their art," they were willing to do so only to a degree that allowed them to remain within the conceptual and formal tradition of Pictorialism... There are various reasons that could account for why a hybrid form of Pictorialism and straight photography prevailed in Canada over the purer form of Modernist photography. The canon of Pictorialism was validated by the National Gallery of Canada... Canada was not propelled into the Modernist movement in photography the way that Mexico or Russia were. With neither Russia nor Mexico's post-revolutionary culture of experimentation, nor the presence of any indigenous or even temporarily resident Modernist photographers, Canadians drew from what had already been institutionalized through the camera club and international salon circuits.

Considering Your Audience and Your Writing Context

Assume a general audience—even an audience who hasn't seen the particular works of art on which you are focusing. This means you will need to include detailed descriptions and formal analyses of the works you are considering and define key analytical terms (e.g., Pictorialist in the above example). When writing a paper for a course, keep in mind that academic readers typically expect to see your organizing argument or thesis foregrounded in the introduction of your paper. Besides summing up your key claim(s), your thesis might also highlight the lines of reasoning or evidence you develop in your paper to support your argument.